

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/812,383	03/29/2004	Toshio Ando	0275M-659COB	2624
27572	7590 07/12/2004		EXAMINER	
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. BOX 828			MILLER, WILLIAM L	
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3677	
		DATE MAILED: 07/12/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/812,383	ANDO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William L. Miller	3677				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	rely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ma	arch 2004.					
_	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	on is required if the drawing(s) is object aminer. Note the attached Office A	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Action or form PTO-152				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign r	priority under 35 H.S.C. & 110(a)	(d) or (f)				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f) a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit						
application from the International Bureau		an in Mational Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list o		1.				
	,					
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T (	DTQ (40)				
) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) L Notice of Informal Pa	tent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>03292004</u> .  Patent and Trademark Office	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/812,383

Art Unit: 3677

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over anticipated by Japanese 11-223207 (hereinafter "JP207") in view of Mizuno et al. (US#6443678), and further in view of Bodin (US#6146076).
- 3. Regarding claim 1, and as admitted by the applicant on page 2 of the specification of the instant application, JP207 discloses an elastic fastener 1 comprising: a hollow tubular portion 10; an internally threaded portion 11 provided at the lower end of the tubular portion; a flange (10a) formed at the upper end of the tubular portion; the tubular portion and flange integrally formed with each other and made of an elastomeric material, namely rubber; and the internally threaded portion being formed of metal and having a nut shape wherein it is integrally fixed to the tubular portion in a hole thereof.
- 4. Although JP207 fails to disclose the tubular portion being made of the claimed elastomeric materials, the selection of a known material based upon its suitability for the intended use is a design consideration within the skill of the art. In re Leshin, 227 F.2d 197, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960). In any event, utilizing a polyamide-based elastomeric material for its inherent strength and tensile elongation properties is known in the fastener art as evidenced by Mizuno (col. 2, lines 15-20). Therefore, as taught by Mizuno, it would have been obvious to one

Application/Control Number: 10/812,383

Art Unit: 3677

of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the JP207 fastener by utilizing a tubular portion made of a polyamide-based elastomeric material for its inherent strength and tensile elongation properties.

- 5. Although JP207 fails to disclose the internally threaded portion being made of the claimed rigid resin materials, the selection of a known material based upon its suitability for the intended use is a design consideration within the skill of the art. In re Leshin, 227 F.2d 197, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960). In any event, utilizing a nylon-based resin for its inherent material properties is known in the fastener art is known as evidenced by Bodin (col. 2, lines 52-57). Therefore, as taught by Bodin, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the JP207 fastener by utilizing an internally threaded portion made of a nylon-based resin to improve resiliency and provide a reduction in weight.
- 6. Regarding claim 2, although the JP207 as modified fails to specifically disclose the polyamide-based elastomeric material having a JIS-A hardness in the range of 40 to 90 degrees as claimed by the applicant, it would have been an obvious design choice to utilize a polyamide-based elastomeric material having a JIS-A hardness in the range of 40 to 90 degrees since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.
- 7. Regarding claims 3 and 4, although JP207 as modified discloses the flange and tubular portion are integrally formed and the internally threaded portion is formed as a single piece which is joined to the tubular portion, JP207 as modified fails to disclose the method limitations of injection molding and fusion bonding as claimed by the applicant. However, the applicant is

reminded method limitations are given little patentable weight in an article claim as the patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. See MPEP 2113.

## Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William L. Miller whose telephone number is 703 305 3978. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. J. Swann can be reached on 703 306 4115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

WLM 07-08-2004 William L. Miller Primary Examiner Art Unit 3677